

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

CHRISTY DERINGER ,

Plaintiff,

v.

LYONS MAGNUS, INC. ,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:22-CV-00968-JLT-SAB

**ORDER SETTING MANDATORY
SCHEDULING CONFERENCE**

DATE: November 10, 2022

TIME: 09:30 AM

COURTROOM: 9 (6th Floor)

STANLEY A. BOONE

U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Rule 16 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure ("Fed. R. Civ. P.") requires the Court to enter a Scheduling Conference Order within ninety (90) days of the date the complaint is served upon the defendant.¹ Therefore, it is ordered that all parties attend a formal Scheduling Conference before United States Magistrate Judge Stanley A. Boone, in Courtroom 9 at the United States Courthouse, 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno, CA 93721.

The Court is unable to conduct the Scheduling Conference until the defendant has been served with the summons and complaint. Accordingly, the plaintiff shall diligently pursue service of the summons and complaint and dismiss those defendants against whom the plaintiff will not pursue claims. The plaintiff shall promptly file proofs of service of the summons and complaint so the Court has a record of service. Counsel are referred to Fed. R. Civ. P. 4, regarding the requirement of timely

////

¹This order will refer to the parties in the singular regardless of the number of parties listed in the complaint.

1 service of the complaint. Failure to timely serve the summons and complaint may result in the
2 imposition of sanctions, including dismissal of unserved defendants.

3 Due to the mandates of Rule 16, the Court will serve this Order upon the plaintiff before
4 appearances of the defendant are due. Furthermore, the Court will order the plaintiff to serve a copy
5 of this Order on the defendant, or, if identified, on their counsel, **promptly** upon receipt of this Order,
6 and to file an appropriate proof of such service with the Court, in compliance with Rule 135 of the Local
7 Rules for the Eastern District of California.

8 Attendance at the Scheduling Conference is *mandatory* for all parties. Parties may appear by
9 their counsel, if represented. If a party is not represented by counsel, they must appear personally at
10 the Scheduling Conference. Only counsel who are thoroughly familiar with the facts and the law of the
11 instant case and who have full authority to bind his or her client shall appear. Trial counsel should
12 participate in this Scheduling Conference whenever possible. Counsel should plan to spend up to
13 thirty (30) minutes in the Scheduling Conference.

14 A Joint Scheduling Report, carefully prepared and executed by all parties, shall be filed one (1)
15 full week prior to the Scheduling Conference.

16 If any party fails to participate in preparing the Joint Scheduling Report, the non-offending party
17 shall detail the party's effort to get the offending party to participate in the Joint Scheduling Report. The
18 non-offending party shall still file the report one (1) full week prior to the Scheduling Conference and shall
19 list the non-offending party's proposed dates. Absent good cause presented by the offending party prior
20 to the Scheduling Conference, the dates proposed by the non-offending party will be presumed to be the
21 dates jointly offered by the parties. The offending party may be subject to sanctions, including monetary
22 sanctions, to compensate the non-offending party's time and effort incurred in seeking compliance in
23 drafting the Joint Scheduling Report.

24 For reference purposes, the Court requires that the Joint Scheduling Report indicate the date,
25 time, and courtroom of the Scheduling Conference. This information is to be placed opposite the
26 caption on the first page of the Report.

27 Among other things, the parties will be expected to discuss the possibility of settlement. The
28 parties are to thoroughly discuss settlement before undertaking the preparation of the Joint Scheduling

1 Report and engaging in extensive discovery. However, even if settlement negotiations are progressing,
2 the parties are expected to comply with the requirements of this Order unless otherwise excused by the
3 Court. If the entire case is settled, please **promptly** inform the Court, and counsel's presence, as well as
4 the Joint Scheduling Report, will not be required.

5 The Scheduling Conference will be held via video conference. Upon the filing of the Joint
6 Scheduling Report, the Courtroom Deputy will email all parties the video conference login information.
7 Parties who wish to appear in person shall contact the Courtroom Deputy, Victoria Gonzales, at
8 (559) 499-5672, sufficiently in advance of the Scheduling Conference.

9 **Form and Contents of the Joint Scheduling Report**

10 At least twenty (20) days prior to the Mandatory Scheduling Conference, and in compliance with
11 Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f), trial counsel for all parties shall conduct and conclude a conference at a time and
12 place mutually agreed upon to prepare the Joint Scheduling Report. The Joint Scheduling Report shall
13 respond to the following items by corresponding numbered paragraphs:

14 1. Summary of the factual contentions (*e.g.*, uncontested and contested facts), and legal
15 contentions (*e.g.*, disputed and undisputed positions, jurisdiction, and venue), set forth in the pleadings of
16 each party, including the relief sought by any party presently before the Court.

17 2. A complete and detailed discovery and pretrial plan addressing the following components,
18 and including dates agreed to by all counsel or specifying where there is a disagreement;

19 a. A date for the exchange of initial disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)
20 or a statement that disclosures have already been exchanged;

21 b. A proposed deadline for amendments to pleadings, and if an amendment is anticipated,
22 a general description of the proposed amendment, *e.g.*, additional parties, adding/
23 removing claims;

24 c. A firm cut-off date for non-expert discovery;

25 d. A firm date for disclosure of expert witnesses as required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2),
26 and a date for any supplemental expert disclosures;

27 e. A firm cut-off date for expert witness discovery;

28 /////

- f. Any proposed changes in the limits on discovery imposed by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b); 30(a)(2)(A), (B); 30(d); or 33(a);
- g. Whether the parties anticipate the need for a protective order relating to the discovery of information relating to a trade secret or other confidential information, research, development, or commercial information;
- h. Any issues or proposals relating to the timing, sequencing, phasing, or scheduling of discovery;
- i. Whether the parties anticipate the need to take discovery outside the United States and, if so, a description of the proposed discovery;
- j. Whether any party anticipates video and/or sound recording of depositions;
- k. A date for filing non-dispositive and dispositive pre-trial motions, with the understanding that motions (except motions in limine or other trial motions) will not be entertained after the agreed upon date;
- l. A pre-trial conference date; and
- m. A trial date.

The parties are advised that discovery/expert cut-off deadlines are the dates by which all discovery must be completed. Discovery motions will not be heard after the discovery deadlines. Moreover, absent good cause, the Court will only grant relief on a discovery motion if the relief requested requires the parties to act before the expiration of the relevant discovery deadline. In other words, discovery requests and deposition notices must be served sufficiently in advance of the discovery deadlines to permit time for a response, time to meet and confer, time to prepare, file and hear a motion to compel, and time to obtain relief on a motion to compel. Counsel are expected to take these contingencies into account when proposing discovery deadlines. All of these dates should be considered firm dates. Dates should be set to allow the Court to decide any matters under submission before the pre-trial conference is held.

3. As advised above, the parties are to thoroughly discuss settlement. The parties must include a statement in the Joint Scheduling Report as to the possibility of settlement. The parties shall indicate when they desire a settlement conference, e.g., before further discovery, after discovery, after pre-trial motions, etc.

1 4. A statement as to whether the case is a jury or non-jury case, and an estimate of the
2 number of trial days is required. If the parties disagree as to whether a jury trial has been timely
3 demanded or whether one is available on some or all of the claims, the statement shall include a summary
4 of each party's position. If the parties cannot agree as to the number of days, each party shall give their
5 best estimate. In estimating the number of trial days, the parties should keep in mind that this Court is
6 normally able to devote the entire day to trial.

7 5. Whether the parties intend to consent to proceed before a United States Magistrate
8 Judge. Presently, when a civil trial is set before the District Judges in the Fresno Division, any
9 criminal trial set which conflicts with the civil trial will take priority, even if the civil trial was set first.
10 Continuances of civil trials under these circumstances may no longer be entertained, absent good
11 cause, but the civil trial may instead trail from day to day, or week to week, until the completion of
12 either the criminal case or the older civil case. The parties are advised that they are free to withhold
13 consent or decline magistrate jurisdiction without adverse substantive consequences.

14 6. Whether either party requests bifurcation or phasing of trial or has any other suggestion for
15 shortening or expediting discovery, pre-trial motions or trial.

16 7. Whether this matter is related to any matter pending in this court or any other court,
17 including bankruptcy court.

18 **Additional Requirements Regarding Electronic and Other Forms of Discovery**

19 1. Discovery Relating to Electronic, Digital and/or Magnetic Data. Prior to a Fed. R. Civ. P.
20 26(f) conference, counsel should carefully investigate their respective client's information management
21 system so that they are knowledgeable as to its operation, including how information is stored and
22 how it can be retrieved. Counsel shall also conduct a reasonable review of their respective client's
23 computer files to ascertain the contents thereof, including archival and legacy data (outdated formats or
24 media), and disclose in initial discovery (self-executing routine discovery) the computer-based evidence
25 which may be used to support claims or defenses. A party seeking discovery of computer-based
26 information shall notify the opposing party immediately, but no later than the Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f)
27 conference, of that fact and identify as clearly as possible the categories of information which may be
28 sought.

2. Duty to Meet and Confer. The parties shall meet and confer regarding the following matters during the Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) conference:

- a. Computer-based information (in general): The parties shall attempt to agree on steps the parties will take to segregate and preserve computer-based information in order to avoid accusations of spoliation.
- b. E-mail information: The parties shall attempt to agree as to the scope of e-mail discovery and attempt to agree upon an e-mail search protocol. This should include an agreement regarding inadvertent production of privileged e-mail messages.
- c. Deleted information: The parties shall confer and attempt to agree whether or not restoration of deleted information may be necessary, the extent to which restoration of deleted information is needed, and who will bear the costs of restoration; and
- d. Back-up data: The parties shall attempt to agree whether or not back-up data may be necessary, the extent to which back-up data is needed and who will bear the cost of obtaining back-up data.

Timing of the Mandatory Scheduling Conference

The Scheduling Conference is set at the time the summonses are issued in the action. However, the Court is aware that in some situations the case is not procedurally in the posture for the Scheduling Conference to be held on the date initially set. Such situations include, but are not limited to, those in which all defendants have not yet been served or have not filed an answer to the complaint, the defendant has defaulted, or where there is a pending motion to dismiss. If the circumstances in this action are such that it would serve the purpose of conserving the resources of the parties and the court to continue the Scheduling Conference, it is the parties' responsibility to so inform the Court prior to the date on which the Joint Scheduling Report is due. If upon review of the Joint Scheduling Report, the Court determines that the case is not procedurally in a posture for the Scheduling Conference to be held, the Court will *sua sponte* continue the Scheduling Conference for an appropriate time.

Important Chambers' Information

The parties are directed to the Court's website at www.caed.uscourts.gov under **Judges; Boone (SAB); Standard Courtroom Information (in the area entitled "Courtroom Procedures")**

1 for specific information regarding Chambers' procedures. Information about law and motion, scheduling
2 conferences, telephonic appearances, and discovery disputes is provided at this link.

3 **Should counsel or a party appearing pro se fail to appear at the Mandatory Scheduling**
4 **Conference, or fail to comply with the directions as set forth above, an ex parte hearing may**
5 **be held and contempt sanctions, including monetary sanctions, dismissal, default, or other**
6 **appropriate judgment, may be imposed and/or ordered.**

7
8 /s/ STANLEY A. BOONE
9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28